PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

| To: LAURA A. CORUZZI | | PCT | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| 222 EAST 41ST STREET NEW YORK, NY 10017-6702 | THE | NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF E INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT AND WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL CHING AUTHORITY, OR THE DECLARATION | | | | |
| | | (PCT Rule 44.1) | | | | |
| | Date of (day/mo | mailing nth/year) 12 OCT 2004 | | | | |
| Applicant's or agent's file reference 11134-19-228 | FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraphs 1 and 4 below | | | | | |
| International application No. PCT/US04/05960 | Internat (day/ma | International filing date (day/month/year) 27 February 2004 (27.02.2004) | | | | |
| Applicant TULARIK INC. | | | | | | |
| The applicant is hereby notified that the international sea Authority have been established and are transmitted here | arch report a | and the written opinion of the International Searching | | | | |
| Filing of amendments and statement under Article 19. The applicant is entitled, if he so wishes, to amend the company of the | claims of the | | | | | |
| When? The time limit for filing such amendments search report. | is normally | two months from the date of transmittal of the international | | | | |
| Where? Directly to the International Bureau of WIF 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland, Facsimile N | Where? Directly to the International Bureau of WIPO, 34 chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland, Facsimile No.: +41 22 740 14 35 | | | | | |
| For more detailed instructions, see the notes on the | | | | | | |
| 2. The applicant is hereby notified that no international search report will be established and that the declaration under Article 17(2)(a) to that effect and the written opinion of the International Searching Authority are transmitted herewith. | | | | | | |
| 3. With regard to the protest against payment of (an) add | ditional fee(s | s) under Rule 40.2, the applicant is notified that: | | | | |
| the protest together with the decision thereon has been transmitted to the International Bureau together with the applicant's request to forward the texts of both the protest and the decision thereon to the designated Offices. | | | | | | |
| no decision has been made yet on the protest; the | no decision has been made yet on the protest; the applicant will be notified as soon as a decision is made. | | | | | |
| 4. Reminders Shortly after the expiration of 18 months from the priority date, the international application will be published by the International Bureau. If the applicant wishes to avoid or postpone publication, a notice of withdrawal of the international application, or of the priority claim, must reach the International Bureau as provided in Rules 90bis.1 and 90bis.3, respectively, before the completion of the technical preparations for international publication. | | | | | | |
| The applicant may submit comments on an informal basis on the written opinion of the International Searching Authority to the International Bureau. The International Bureau will send a copy of such comments to all designated Offices unless an international preliminary examination report has been or is to be established. These comments would also be made available to the public but not before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date. | | | | | | |
| Within 19 months from the priority date, but only in respect of some designated Offices, a demand for international preliminary examination must be filed if the applicant wishes to postpone the entry into the national phase until 30 months from the priority date (in some Offices even later); otherwise, the applicant must, within 20 months from the priority date, perform the prescribed acts for entry into the national phase before those designated Offices. | | | | | | |
| In respect of other designated Offices, the time limit of 30 months (or later) will apply even if no demand is filed within 19 months. | | | | | | |
| See the Annex to Form PCT/IB/301 and, for details about the applicable time limits, Office by Office, see the PCT Applicant's Guide. Volume II, National Chapters and the WIPO Internet site. | | | | | | |
| Name and mailing address of the ISA/ US Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US | | Authorized officer | | | | |
| Commissioner for Patents | | Thomas McKenzie, Ph.D. | | | | |
| P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230 | | Telephone No. (703) 308-1235 | | | | |

Form PCT/ISA/220 (January 2004)

(See notes on accompanying sheet)

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

(PCT Article 18 and Rules 43 and 44)

| Applicant's or agent's file reference 11134-19-228 | FOR FURTHER ACTION | | Form PCT/ISA/220 ere applicable, item 5 below. | | | |
|---|---|---------------|--|--|--|--|
| International application No. PCT/US04/05960 | International filing date (day/m 27 February 2004 (27.02.2004) | onth/year) | (Earliest) Priority Date (day/month/year) 27 February 2004 (27.02.2004) | | | |
| Applicant TULARIK INC. | | | | | | |
| This international search report has been according to Article 18. A copy is being | prepared by this International g transmitted to the International | Searching Au | uthority and is transmitted to the applicant | | | |
| This international search report consists It is also accompanied | of a total of sheets. I by a copy of each prior art do | cument cited | in this report. | | | |
| Basis of the Report With regard to the language, the international search was carried out on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. | | | | | | |
| furnished to this Autho | rity (Rule 23.1(b)). | | lation of the international application | | | |
| | | disclosed in | the international application, see Box No. I. | | | |
| | unsearchable (See Box No. II) | | · | | | |
| 3. Unity of invention is lacking. 4. With regard to the title, | ng (See Box No. III) | | · | | | |
| the text is approved as subn | nitted by the applicant. | | | | | |
| the text has been established | d by this Authority to read as follow | lows: | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 5. With regard to the abstract, | | | | | | |
| the text is approved as sub- | | | | | | |
| the text has been established may, within one month from | d, according to Rule 38.2(b), by m the date of mailing of this inte | this Authorit | y as it appears in Box No. IV. The applicant ch report, submit comments to this Authority. | | | |
| 6. With regard to the drawings, a. the figure of the drawings to be as suggested by the | e published with the abstract is F | igure No | _ | | | |
| | e applicant. Authority, because the applicant | failed to sug | gest a figure. | | | |
| | Authority, because this figure be | | | | | |
| | published with the abstract. | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/US04/05960

| IPC(7) | : C07D 401/12,407/14,471/04;A61K 31/519;A61 | P 19/02 | | | | |
|---|---|--------------|--|---|--|--|
| US CL: 514/25,264.1;536/17.4;544/279 According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC | | | | | | |
| | DS SEARCHED | | | | | |
| Minimum do | cumentation searched (classification system followed b | v classifica | tion symbols) | | | |
| | 14/25,264.1;536/17.4;544/279 | , 0145511104 | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched MEDLINE | | | | | | |
| Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used) CAS ONLINE | | | | | | |
| C. DOC | UMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT | | | | | |
| Category * | Citation of document, with indication, where ap | | | Relevant to claim No. | | |
| Х | WO 2002083143 A1 (Tularik Inc., USA) 24 October | 2002(24.1 | 0.2002) the entire | 1-3 & 7-24 | | |
| Y | document. | | , | 4-6 | | |
| 1 | | | | j | | |
| | , | | • | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | • | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | , | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Furthe | r documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. | | See patent family annex. | | | |
| * | Special categories of cited documents: | "T" | later document published after the inte date and not in conflict with the appli | emational filing date or priority | | |
| | nt defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be | | principle or theory underlying the inv | | | |
| 1 | ular relevance | "X" | document of particular relevance; the | claimed invention cannot be | | |
| | pplication or patent published on or after the international filing date | | considered novel or cannot be considered when the document is taken alone | ered to invoive an inventive step | | |
| | nt which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as | "Y" | document of particular relevance; the | claimed invention cannot be | | |
| specified | | | considered to involve an inventive ste combined with one or more other suc | p when the document is h documents, such combination | | |
| "O" docume | nt referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means | | being obvious to a person skilled in the | | | |
| | nt published prior to the international filing date but later than the date claimed | "&" | document member of the same patent | family | | |
| | actual completion of the international search | Date of n | nailing of the international sear | rch report | | |
| | 21 September 2004 (21.09.2004) 12 OCT 2004 | | | | | |
| | nailing address of the ISA/US | Authorize | ed officer | 6 1 A 1 | | |
| M: | ail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US | Thomas | McKenzie, Ph.D. | Withtake | | |
| 1 | Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 | | | | | |
| Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 | | | | | | |
| Facsimile N | o. (703) 305-3230 | | | | | |

Form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) (January 2004)



From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

To: LAURA A. CORUZZI 222 EAST 41ST STREET NEW YORK. NY 10017-6702

PCT

| 222 EAST 41ST STREET NEW YORK, NY 10017 | -6702 | | | ITTEN OPINION OF THE ONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY | |
|--|--|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|--|--|
| | | | | (PCT Rule 43bis.1) | |
| | | | Date of mailing (day/month/year) | 12 OCT 2004 | |
| Applicant's or agent's file reference | | | FOR FURTHER | ACTION See paragraph 2 below | |
| 11134-19-228 International application N | | International filing date | (dav/month/vear) | Priority date (day/month/year) | |
| ĺ | | | | 27 February 2004 (27.02.2004) | |
| PCT/US04/05960 International Patent Classi | fication (IPC) | or both national classification | tion and IPC | 27 February 2004 (27.02.2004) | |
| 1 | | | | NGA 1.526/17 A.5AA/270 | |
| IPC(7): C07D 401/12,407 | /14,471/04;A | 61K 31/519;A61P 19/02 a | nd US CI.: 514/25,2 | 104.1;530/17.4;544/279 | |
| Applicant | | | | | |
| TULARIK INC. | | | | | |
| 1. This opinion contains | indications re | lating to the following iten | 15: | · | |
| Box No. I | Basis of the | e opinion | | | |
| Box No. II | Priority | | | | |
| Box No. III | Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability | | | entive step and industrial applicability | |
| Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention | | | | | |
| Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement | | | | | |
| Box No. VI | Box No. VI Certain documents cited | | | | |
| Box No. VII | Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application | | | | |
| Box No. VIII | Certain ob | servations on the internati | onal application | | |
| 2. FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. | | | | | |
| If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| 3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220. | | | | | |
| Name and mailing address | s of the ISA/ | US | Authorized office | | |
| Mail Stop PCT, Commissioner fo | | | Thomas C Mck | (703) 308-1235 Letter for long | |
| P.O. Box 1450 | | | m | (703) 308 1335 | |
| Alexandria, Virg Facsimile No. (703) 305- | | · | l elephone No. | (703) 308-1235 | |

Form PCT/ISA/237 (cover sheet) (January 2004)



International application No.

PCT/US04/05960

| В | Box No. I Basis of this opinion | | | | | |
|-----|---------------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| .1. | With it was | regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. | | | | |
| | | This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)). | | | | |
| 2. | With claim | regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the ed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of: | | | | |
| | a. | type of material | | | | |
| | | a sequence listing | | | | |
| | | table(s) related to the sequence listing | | | | |
| | b. | format of material | | | | |
| | | in written format | | | | |
| | | in computer readable form | | | | |
| | c. | time of filing/furnishing | | | | |
| | | contained in international application as filed. | | | | |
| | | filed together with the international application in computer readable form. | | | | |
| | | furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search. | | | | |
| 3 | . 🔲 | In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished. | | | | |
| 4 | . Addi | tional comments: | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | · | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | ٠ | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |



International application No. PCT/US04/05960

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43 bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

| 1. Statement | | | |
|---|-------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|
| Novelty (N) | Claims | 1-24 | YES |
| The really (any | | NONE | NO |
| | | | |
| Inventive step (IS) | Claims | 4-6 | YES |
| - | Claims | 1-3 & 7-24 | NO |
| | | | |
| Industrial applicability (IA) | Claims | 1-24 | YES |
| | Claims | NONE | NO |
| | | | |
| 2. Citations and explanations: | | ٠. | |
| Claims 1-3 and 7-24 lack an inventive step under PCT Applicant claims a compound of formula (I) with Z = nitrogen and R = ethyl. This claimed compound is a N-O and R = ethyl. The reference teaches such a cometabolite. | = nitrogen ar an obvious h | and R = propyl. The reference teaches such a composition of formula compound of formula and the compound of formula compound o | bound with $Z = a$ (I) with $Z = a$ |
| Claims 4-6 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33 these claims. | (2)-(3), beca | use the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest the o | compounds of |
| Claims 1-24 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 3 can be made or used in industry. | 3(4), and thu | us have industrial applicability because the subject ma | utter claimed |
| | | | |
| | • | | |
| · | | | |
| | | · | |
| | | • | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

Form PCT/ISA/237 (Box No. V) (January 2004)



International application No.

PCT/US04/05960

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the questions whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

Claims 9-24 are objected to as lacking clarity under PCT Rule 66.2(a)(v) because of the claims 9-24 not fully supported by the description. The description does not disclose the claimed invention in a manner sufficiently clear and complete for the claimed invention to be carried out by a person skilled in the art because: No disease is presently understood to be treatable by any CXCR3 agonist or antagonist.

Claims 5 and 6 are objected to under PCT Rule 66.2(a)(v) as lacking clarity under PCT Article 6 because claims 5 and 6 are indefinite for the following reason(s): Neither saccharide nor glucuronide are listed as possible values for variable R in parent claim 1.

Claims 10, 12, 13, and 20-22 objected to under PCT Rule 66.2(a)(v) as lacking clarity under PCT Article 6 because claims 10, 12, 13, and 20-22 are indefinite for the following reason(s): It is unclear which diseases are "CXCR3-mediated".

NOTES TO FORM PCT/ISA/220 (continued)

The letter must indicate the differences between the claims as filed and the claims as amended. It must, in particular, indicate, in connection with each claim appearing in the international application (it being understood that identical indications concerning several claims may be grouped), whether

- (i) the claim is unchanged;
- (ii) the claim is cancelled;
- (iii) the claim is new;
- (iv) the claim replaces one or more claims as filed;
- (v) the claim is the result of the division of a claim as filed.

The following examples illustrate the manner in which amendments must be explained in the accompanying letter:

- 1. [Where originally there were 48 claims and after amendment of some claims there are 51]: "Claims 1 to 29, 31, 32, 34, 35, 37 to 48 replaced by amended claims bearing the same numbers; claims 30, 33 and 36 unchanged; new claims 49 to 51 added."
- [Where originally there were 15 claims and after amendment of all claims there are 11]:
 "Claims 1 to 15 replaced by amended claims 1 to 11."
- 3. [Where originally there were 14 claims and the amendments consist in cancelling some claims and in adding new claims]: "Claims 1 to 6 and 14 unchanged; claims 7 to 13 cancelled; new claims 15, 16 and 17 added." or "Claims 7 to 13 cancelled; new claims 15, 16 and 17 added; all other claims unchanged."
- 4. [Where various kinds of amendments are made]: "Claims 1-10 unchanged; claims 11 to 13, 18 and 19 cancelled; claims 14, 15 and 16 replaced by amended claim 14; claim 17 subdivided into amended claims 15, 16 and 17; new claims 20 and 21 added."

"Statement under Article 19(1)" (Rule 46.4)

The amendments may be accompanied by a statement explaining the amendments and indicating any impact that such amendments might have on the description and the drawings (which cannot be amended under Article 19(1)).

The statement will be published with the international application and the amended claims.

It must be in the language in which the international application is to be published.

It must be brief, not exceeding 500 words if in English or if translated into English.

It should not be confused with and does not replace the letter indicating the differences between the claims as filed and as amended. It must be filed on a separate sheet and must be identified as such by a heading, preferably by using the words "Statement under Article 19(1)."

It may not contain any disparaging comments on the international search report or the relevance of citations contained in that report. Reference to citations, relevant to a given claim, contained in the international search report may be made only in connection with an amendment of that claim.

Consequence if a demand for international preliminary examination has already been filed

If, at the time of filing any amendments and any accompanying statement, under Article 19, a demand for international preliminary examination has already been submitted, the applicant must preferably, at the time of filing the amendments (and any statement) with the International Bureau, also file with the International Preliminary Examining Authority a copy of such amendments (and of any statement) and, where required, a translation of such amendments for the procedure before that Authority (see Rules 55.3(a) and 62.2, first sentence). For further information, see the Notes to the demand form (PCT/IPEA/401).

Consequence with regard to translation of the international application for entry into the national phase

The applicant's attention is drawn to the fact that, upon entry into the national phase, a translation of the claims as amended under Article 19 may have to be furnished to the designated/elected Offices, instead of, or in addition to, the translation of the claims as filed.

For further details on the requirements of each designated/elected Office, see the PCT Applicant's Guide, Volume II.

This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning Operations and is not part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

□ BLACK BORDERS
□ IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
□ FADED TEXT OR DRAWING
□ BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING
□ SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
□ COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS
□ GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS
□ LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT
□ REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

OTHER:

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.